

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,429	10/15/2003	Kanghoon Lee	243747US2DIV	. 3899
	7590 · 12/28/2006 AK MCCLELLAND MA	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			GARCIA, GABRIEL I	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/684,429	LEE, KANGHOON
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Gabriel I. Garcia	2625
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			v ·
2a) <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	· ·
Dispositio	on of Claims	,	
5)	Claim(s) 21-51 is/are pending in the application is) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 21-51 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath of the oat	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		• .
12) [ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  policies of the certified copies of the priorical depolication from the International Bureau  ce the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(	(c)		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 10/7/05 & 1/15/04	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

## Part III DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant should update the related application cited on page 2. Examiner has considered cited U.S. patent applications in papers # 7/16/04, 3/12/04 and 10/15/03.
- 2. Claim 1 is objected because of the following informalities: on line 3, the phrase "a image" appears that it should be changed to "an image", and on line 3 the phrase "device;" appears that it should be changed to "device; and".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-24, 28-38,40-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashiwazaki et al. (5,469,373) in view of Osbon (article "Jandel Scientific Announces Java (R) 1.4", News Release, Corte Madera, CA March 1, 1991).

With regard to claim s 21-22, Kashiwazaki\_et al teaches a printer(1) comprising: a communication interface (21), an image forming device (10); and a processor (22), connected to the communication interface and the image forming device (e.g. items 10 and 20 are connected through item 31), configured to control the printer. using a device independent device (e.g. col. 6, lines 59-65). Kashiwazaki\_et al. does not teach to control the printer or to report a printer status using an object oriented command (Such

as JAVA). However, <u>Osbon</u> teaches that it is well known in the art to use JAVA commands in a printing environment (page 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device independent printing system having multiple environment as taught by <u>Kashiwazaki</u>et al. with a JAVA environment as taught by <u>Osbon</u>, in order to take advantage of JAVA's versatility and portability which is independent of operating systems and hardware architectures, thereby allowing the printing system of <u>Kashiwazaki</u>et al. to greatly improve overall program execution.

With regard to claims 23-24, Kashiwazaki\_et all teaches the processor is configured to control the printer using a complex graphics operator (inherently reads on item 101 and/or 211, which allow the user to control the setting of the printer).

With regard to claims 26-27 and 29-30, the combination of Kashiwazaki\_et al and Osbon teaches the use of a JAVA language within a printer (see details above), the features of claims 26-27 and 29-30 represent the feature of the JAVA language (see page 2 of Applicant's disclosure). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide the system taught by the combination of Kashiwazaki\_et al and Osbon with the feature of the JAVA printer, since these-feature are inherently-part of the JAVA printer that will be incorporated to any system when the JAVA language is being used.

With regard to claim 28, Kashiwazaki\_et al teaches the processor is configured to process commands in multiple languages (see fig. 2).

With regard to claims 35-38,40-51, the limitations of claims 35-38,40-51 are covered by the limitations of claims 21-24,26-30 above.

3. Claims 25 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashiwazaki et al. (5,469,373) and Osbon (article "Jandel Scientific Announces Java (R) 1.4", News Release, Corte Madera, CA March 1, 1991) as applied to claims 21-23 above, in further view of Cabral et al. (5,455,599),

With regard to claim 25, the combination of Kashiwazaki et al. and Osbon teach the use of a graphics operator (see details above), but fails to teach using a graphics operator which is a subclass of an existing primitive. However, Cabral et al teaches that it is well known in the art to have a graphics operator which is a subclass of an existing primitive [34 and 61]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to provide the combination of Kashiwazaki et al. and Osbon with the details of the graphics operator as describe by Cabral et al. in order to provide the suggested system with a better selection of feature(s) allowing the user to provide more feature to the printing system graphics operator.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel I. Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7434. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for this group is 571-273-8300.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted

by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gabriel I. Garcia

December 20, 2006

GABRIEL I. GARCIA
PRIMARY EXAMINER